UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/448,804 | 11/24/1999 | DAVID L. SALGADO | D/99253-690 | 5473 |
| 2512 Perman & Gree | 7590 05/05/201 n. LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 99 Hawley Land | e | PANNALA, SATHYANARAYA R | | |
| Stratford, CT 06614 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2164 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/05/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision | Application/Control No. | Reex |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief | 09/448,804 | SAL |
| Review | | Art U |
| 220 (20 () | CHARLES RONES | 2164 |

| cision | Application/Control No. | Reexamination | |
|--------|-------------------------|----------------|--|
| Brief | 09/448,804 | SALGADO ET AL. | |
| | | Art Unit | |
| | CHARLES RONES | 2164 | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review file | d 22 March 2010. | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Improper Request – The Request is improper and a cor reason(s): | nference will not be held for the following | | | |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent wit ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appea ☐ Other: . | appropriate. | | | |
| The time period for filing a response continues to run from the the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of A | | | | |
| 2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable. | | | | |
| ☐ The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | s as follows: | | | |
| 3. ☐ Allowable application – A conference has been held. The Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains clapplicant at this time. | | | | |
| 4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. Th action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant | | | | |
| All participants: | | | | |
| (1) <u>CHARLES RONES</u> . (3) <u>Mon</u> | hammad Ali. | | | |
| (2) <u>Sathyanarayan Pannala</u> . (4) | | | | |
| /Charles Rones/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2164 | | | | |